





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/588,924 | 06/06/2000 | Roger P. Jackson | 00,063 | 9408 | |
| 75 | 90 11/01/2002 | | | | |
| John C McMahon | | | EXAM | EXAMINER . | |
| PO Box 30069 | 0 (1110 | | DAVIS, D | DAVIS, DANIEL J | |
| Kansas City, MO 64112 | | | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3731 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/01/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



| | Application No. | plicant(s) | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/588,924 | JACKSON, ROGER | P. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | D Jacob Davis | 3731 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avifinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applicate a timely filed amendment which | ation. A proper reply h places the applica | y to a ition in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The approriginally set in the final | on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (s | see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | elow); | | , |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or sin | mplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE: | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims | S . |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | on(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed | amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Application | | | T place the |
| The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were | e newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo | s) a) will not be entered or b) will be rejected is provided belo | | ind an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a | a)☐ approved or b)☐ disappi | roved by the Examir | ner. |
| Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | · | |
| 10.⊠ Other: <u>Response to arguments</u> | | DAVID O. REIP | la |

PRIMARY EXAMINER



Art Unit: 3731

Response to Arguments

Applicant stated that Gournay et al. (US 6,193,719) did not disclose a removal head located between the driving head and body. For clarification, Gournay discloses in Figure 1 an implant having a driving head 6, a body, and a removal head as illustrated below. The removal head is located between the body and the driving head 6.

